

**FORMAL OBJECTIONS TO TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – SWALE AMENDMENT 9**

**1. Sandford Road, Sittingbourne – Proposed Double Yellow Lines**

*“Dear Sir/Madam. I would like to object to the proposed order to install double yellow lines between 62 and 68 Sandford Road, Sittingbourne. I am a resident and both I and my family will be adversely affected by this. We need regular access to parking spaces for visitors and family. Thank you for registering this objection.”*

**2. Sandford Road, Sittingbourne – Proposed Double Yellow Lines**

*“Thank you for our conversation on the phone yesterday clarifying that the parish council had previously asked some of the residents whether they had any objections to yellow lines being put outside our houses.*

*I spoke with all off my neighbours with whom it concerns, they said they had all objected to it in writing and thought as they had left our row of houses without the lines it had been dealt with. We were all very surprised when one of the residents had spotted a sign with the proposal yet again!*

*Most people thought the signs on the lamp posts were the old signs from before, so it was fortunate my neighbour spotted it in time, other wise non off us would have known. It would have been nice if we were made aware by a letter in the post to inform us off the proposal, had it not have been spotted it would have been to late to object, but I guess that was the plan!*

*I wish to object to the proposed order to install lines between 62 and 68 Sandford Road, Sittingbourne. Here are my reasons:*

- *Drive is far too steep to park down, and it causes damage to the exhaust pipe when it scrapes underneath.*
- *It could cause more of an accident coming off the drive, with blind spots.*
- *Most would have to park by the Gadby Road shops, causing more parking problems for shop keepers and customers.*
- *Not having the extra space outside my house will make it more difficult to sell my house in the future, as most families have a two car space and lose value to my house.*
- *Access for family and friends visiting.*
- *Would make shopping difficult if I have to lug my shopping from down the road to get it home.*

*After speaking to my neighbours that have lived here for years, all are very frail with walking disabilities and health issues, and could do without all the added stress off not being able to park outside their houses.*

*I hope that all of this will be taken into consideration, the effect it can have on people's lives. We hope that this will be efficient enough to officially put a stop to the proposed double yellow lines, once and for all.”*

### 3. Sandford Road, Sittingbourne – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

*“Re the proposed installation of double yellow lines outside of my house. I strongly object to this as I am disabled with heart and arthritis and would find it very difficult to use the drive of the house to park on as its on a slope as is No.64 and 66.”*

### 4. Sandford Road, Sittingbourne – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

*“I would like to object to the proposal to put yellow lines outside 62-68 Sandford Road, Sittingbourne.*

*I have lived on this estate almost all my life and pass through the area concerned regularly. The reasons for objection are:*

- 1. Parking cars in this area form a natural speed restriction for passing traffic. This is an area where school children cross the road to go to Grove Park Primary School so the natural speed reduction is useful. Putting yellow lines would, I suspect, increase traffic speeds and reduce safety.*
- 2. Due to the recent addition of a large number of yellow lines in the area there is now no other on-street parking for a long way in any direction, meaning that local residents would face serious problems for any sort of visits or deliveries where parking were needed.*
- 3. There is also concern that people who currently need to park in these spaces would be forced instead to park in the area of Gadby Road outside the nearby group of shops, which is often already crowded, reducing the amount of parking available for the shops and thus hurting their economic viability. Parking areas in the area need to be valued, not removed.”*